

UNITED STATES FATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
Aubrey B. Poore JR.	CSUR.01USR1	3415
	EXAMINER HELLNER, MARK ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
~	3663	
	Aubrey B. Poore JR.	Aubrey B. Poore JR. CSUR.01USR1 EXAM HELLNER ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/865,469	POORE, AUBRE	Y B.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	/	
<u>~</u>	Mark Heliner	3663	1 MW	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b. I reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 indo will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABAND	pe timely filed) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this of ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>2/23/04</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appli priority documents have been rec reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this Nationa	l Stage	
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	·	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PT	⁻ O-152)	



Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:

The substitute specification filed 12/23/2003 has not been entered. The subject matter being added to the original patent is double underlined. This is not acceptable under 37 CFR 1.173(b). For example, page 1, line 22 should be:

a. Field of the Invention

Also, the type of underlining used at page 201 is not straight. It should be changed to the type used in the example above.

The formula (5) on page 228 has lines that are overlapping in a manner that makes them unreadable. This should be corrected.

It is required that a substitute specification be provided that one can clearly determine the subject matter added (aaaaaa)/deleted ([aaaaaa]) from the original patent.

Claim1 is rejected as being based upon a defective reissue oath/declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the oath/declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at telephone number 703 306 4155.

